

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/260,037

Applicant(s)  
Yacoby-Zeevi

Examiner  
Richard Hutson

Group Art Unit  
1652



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Hutson

(3) Iris Pecker

(2) Dr. Erlich

(4) Sal Sheinbein And Oron Yacoby-Zeevi

Date of Interview Nov 14, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8

Identification of prior art discussed:

Fuks et al., Wang et al. and Sigma Catalog

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants representative proposed amendments of the claims that they felt would overcome the obviousness rejection based on the art of record. Specifically these amendments included the limitations of the previously examined claims and the limitation of a biological preparation comprising a ex-vivo biological preparation. Applicants intent is also to argue why the motivation for the combination of the Fuks et al. and Wang et al. reference is lacking.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form and it is an attachment to a signed Office action.